

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/839,039	04/20/2001	Jean-Francois Rameau	5974-076	3623
27383	7590 12/14/2004		EXAM	INER
CLIFFORD CHANCE US LLP			CRAIG, I	OWIN M
31 WEST 52ND STREET NEW YORK, NY 10019-6131			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,	•	2123	

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/839,039	RAMEAU ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dwin M Craig	2123			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may be eply within the statutory minimum of the dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		·			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	April 2001.				
·=					
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withden 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6,26-29,31,32 and 34-36 is/are re 7) ☐ Claim(s) 7-25, 30, 33 and 37 is/are objected 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration. ejected. to.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 20 April 2001 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obj ne drawing(s) be held in abey ection is required if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life.	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)			
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date I Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/839,039

Art Unit: 2123

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-37 have been presented for Examination.

Specification

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because; in line 3 of the Abstract the words "surfaceto" do not have a space between them. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

3. Dependent Claim 20 is objected to because of the following informalities: There is a period after the word "draft" on the second line of the claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Dependent Claim 16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear to the Examiner exactly what the *meets and bounds* are of the term "guaranteed" as disclosed in dependent Claim 16. Amendment and clarification are required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Independent Claims 1, 26, 27, 28, 31, 34 and 35 and dependent Claims 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 29, 32 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Weiss et al. U.S. Patent 5,189,781.
- 5.1 As regards independent Claims 1, 26, 27, 28, 31, 34 and 35 the Weiss et al. reference teaches,

A Computer Aided Manufacturing system (Col. 1 Lines 18-51), for designing a part (Figure 10), selecting a parting surface with a first side and a second side (Col. 3 Lines 40-53), a draft angle (Col. 12 Lines 6-8), where the sides meet on a parting surface (Figure 4), and there is a corner radius "convex corner" (Figure 9 and Col. 9 Lines 20-33).

- 5.2 As regards dependent Claim 2 the Weiss et al. reference teaches using a selected face (Figure 10).
- 5.3 As regards dependent Claim 3 it is inherent in the Weiss et al. reference that a pulling direction for the mold would be selected.
- 5.4 As regards dependent Claim 4 the Weiss et al. reference teaches a plurality of faces (Figure 4).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/839,039

Art Unit: 2123

- 5.5 As regards dependent Claim 5 the Weiss et al. reference teaches a "sharp edge" (Figure 3).
- 5.6 As regards dependent Claim 6 the Weiss et al. reference teaches displaying the designed part (Figures 5, 6a & 6b).
- 5.7 As regards dependent Claims 29, 32 & 36 the Weiss et al. reference teaches a corner radius "convex corner" (Figure 9 and Col. 9 Lines 20-33).
- 6. Independent Claims 1, 26, 27, 28, 31, 34 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sebastian U.S. Patent 5,552,995.
- 6.1 As regards independent Claims 1, 26, 27, 28, 31, 34 and 35 the Sebastian reference teaches:

A Computer Aided Manufacturing system (Figures 2 & 3), for designing a part (Col. 3 Lines 50-67, Col. 4 Lines 1-6, Col. 5 Lines 9-19), selecting a parting surface with a first side and a second side (Figures 2A and 2B), a draft angle (Col. 11 Lines 32-49), where the sides meet on a parting surface (Figure 8, the Ultra sonic weld is where the parting surfaces meet).

7. Independent Claims 1, 26, 27, 28, 31, 34 and 35 and dependent Claims 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 29, 32 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Liou et al. U.S. Patent 6,484,063.

⁽e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 09/839,039

Art Unit: 2123

7.1 As regards independent Claims 1, 26, 27, 28, 31, 34 and 35 the Liou et al. reference teaches,

A Computer Aided Manufacturing system (Figure 2), for designing a part (Figure 4 Items 334, 340 & 346), selecting a parting surface with a first side and a second side (Figure 4 Items 342 & 348), a draft angle (Figure 3 Items 244, 246 & 247, Col. 3 Lines 7-15), where the sides meet on a parting surface (Figure 3 Items 248 & 260), and there is a corner radius "sharp edge" (Figure 3 Items 256 & 258).

- 7.2 As regards dependent Claim 2 the Liou et al. reference teaches using a selected face (Col. 4 Lines 46-55), the Examiner notes that the reference discusses a 3-D view of the part which inherently show the many faces of the part.
- 7.3 As regards dependent Claim 3 it is inherent in the *Liou et al.* reference that a pulling direction for the mold would be selected.
- 7.4 As regards dependent Claim 4 the Liou et al. reference teaches a plurality of faces (Col. 4 Lines 46-55), the Examiner notes that the reference discusses a 3-D view of the part which inherently show the many faces of the part.
- 7.5 As regards dependent Claim 5 the Liou et al. reference teaches a "sharp edge" (Figure 3 Items 256 & 258, Col. 6 Lines 28-42).
- 7.6 As regards dependent Claim 6 the Liou et al. reference teaches displaying the designed part (Col. 4 Lines 46-55).
- 7.7 As regards dependent Claims 29, 32 & 36 the Liou et al. reference teaches a corner radius "sharp edge" (Col. 6 Lines 28-42).

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 7-25, 30, 33 and 37 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The Examiner notes that dependent Claim 16 is also being rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 2nd paragraph, see section 4 above.

Conclusion

- 9. Claims 1-37 have been presented for Examination. Claims 1-6, 26-29, 31, 32 and 34-36 have been rejected. Claims 7-25, 30, 33 and 37 have been objected to. This Office Action is Non-Final.
- 9.1 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dwin M Craig whose telephone number is (571) 272-3710. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00 - 6:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Teska can be reached on (571)272-3716. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 09/839,039

Art Unit: 2123

DMC

Marian Ma